

ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE AGENDA

November 17, 2016 – North Conference Room

21630 11th Avenue South – Des Moines 98198

6:20P – 6:50P

1. Approval of the minutes of the 10.20.2016 meeting

2. Draft 2017 Work Program

(Discussion Item – 15 min)

Staff will be presenting a proposed work program for next year's Committee.

3. WRIA 9 Interlocal Agreement-Property Acquisition

(Informational Item – 15 min)

Staff will review with the Committee a proposal by Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 9 to acquire certain properties as part of its Saltwater Park Shoreline Habitat Restoration Project.

DRAFT MINUTES - ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETING 10.20.2016

The meeting was called to order @ 5:30 PM, Thursday, October 20, 2016, in the North Conference room @ 21630 11th Avenue South, Des Moines with the following in attendance:

Council Members

Robert Back, Chair

Melissa Musser

Matt Pina

City Staff

Dan Brewer, PBPW Director

Loren Reinhold, SWM Utility Manager

Brandon Carver, Engineering Svc Manager

Andrew Merges, Associate Engineer

Michael Matthias, City Manager

Matt Hutchins, Asst City Attorney

Peggy Volin, Admin Asst II

AGENDA:

1. Approve minutes of the 9.15.2016 meeting
2. 2nd Draft LID Ordinance
3. Poverty Bay Shellfish Downgrade

MEETING:

1. Approve the minutes of the September 15, 2018 meeting: Unanimously approved.
2. 2nd Draft LID Ordinance: SWM Engineering Manager Loren Reinhold introduced Parametrix consultant Austin Fisher who took the Committee through the recommended revisions to the City's current Municipal Code; and a table comparing the status, proposed code revisions and outreach/coordination efforts as reported by several other Phase 2 communities. The next steps to focus on will be to incorporate the final comments from this Committee; schedule and complete a legal review of the code revisions; and finally schedule a presentation of this Ordinance to the full Council.
3. Poverty Bay Shellfish Downgrade: Water Quality Specialist Tyler Beekley briefed the Committee on the recent downgrade due to fecal coliform pollution of approximately 130 acres of the Poverty Bay commercial shellfish growing area from "Approved" to "Conditionally Approved" resulting in closure for shellfishing from June to November each year. The restrictions have already started in this region.

Meeting adjourned at 6:17 pm

Minutes respectfully Submitted by: Peggy Volin, Admin Asst II

**Environment Committee
Potential 2017 Work Program**

January 19, 2017

Confirm 2017 Work Program
Poverty Bay Shellfish Downgrade Update

February 16, 2017

LID Integration - Draft Stormwater and Road Standards
SWM Development Fees
Service Center NPDES permit requirements

March 16, 2017

Barnes Creek Culvert Replacement – Update
Critical Areas Ordinance Amendments - Briefing
Midway Sewer District Comprehensive Sewer Plan - tentative

April 20, 2017

Update on CMP Pipe Replacement Inventory
NPDES Program Update
Lakehaven Utility District Comprehensive Sewer Plan - tentative

May 18, 2017

CIP Project Updates.
Poverty Bay Shellfish Downgrade Update

June 15, 2017

Draft 2018-2023 SWM CIP
LID Integration Program Update

July 20, 2017

Draft 2018-2023 NPDES Permit - tentative
Water District No. 54 Comprehensive Water Plan - tentative

August 17, 2017

NPDES Program Update
Public Works Yard Groundwater Remediation Update

September 21, 2017

2018 SWM Capital Improvement Budget
2018 Budget Discussions

October 19, 2017

Poverty Bay Shellfish Downgrade Update

November 16, 2017

December 21, 2017

WRIA 9 GREEN/DUWAMISH AND CENTRAL PUGET SOUND WATERSHED

TO: LOREN REINHOLD, CITY OF DES MOINES, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, UTILITIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

FROM: KAREN BERGERON, WRIA 9 HABITAT PROJECT COORDINATOR

SUBJECT: PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF PARCELS IMMEDIATELY NORTH OF SALTWATER STATE PARK

DATE: NOVEMBER 9, 2016

We are proposing that the City of Des Moines acquire the three parcels to the north of Saltwater State Park with logistical and grant funding support from WRIA 9. Acquisition of the three parcels would provide significant additional ecological benefits to the proposed restoration project at the park. The goals of the restoration project are to: (1) remove rock armoring along McSorley Creek, (2) restore stream habitat, and (3) remove marine shoreline armoring to the maximum extent possible while protecting cultural resources (e.g., historical fire pit) and infrastructure (including buildings at the top of the bluff) and enhancing low-impact recreational activities. If the three parcels (listed below) were acquired, the restoration activities would not be expanded beyond the park boundary to include these parcels, but would add to open space, wildlife habitat, contribute to upper slope stability and allow the natural drift cell processes to continue to the parcels north of the park.

The current assessment values are listed below as a starting place to estimate property values. Due to the unusual circumstances surrounding these properties, I understand it is difficult to give an accurate estimate as to fair market value (aka "purchase price"). If the properties could eventually be deemed inhabitable, the [presumably high] cost to implement necessary repairs (and the speculative nature of their permanency) would certainly have an impact on their fair market value, but their fair market value would likely be higher than their current assessed values.

Parcel No. (name)	2017 Assessed Value
256080-6076 (Pieniak)	\$310k
256080-6100 (Williams)	\$344k
256080-6120 (Robinson)	\$287k

WRIA 9 has an existing grant to determine landowner willingness to sell parcels for habitat protection. The target is mainland feeder bluffs in order to protect and restore nearshore sediment processes. King County Water and Land Resources Division's Acquisition Group is currently providing staff to lead these outreach efforts. If landowners are interested in potentially selling their property, the acquisitions staff will coordinate any necessary

assessments, appraisals, inspections, title searches, negotiations, etc. to determine site feasibility for purchase and restoration. All of these potential real estate costs are included in the current grant.

Additional grants that could support the property purchases, subsequent building demolitions, and revegetation of the sites include King County Conservation Futures, King Conservation District, Cooperative Watershed Management, and Salmon Recovery Funding Board. All of these grant funding sources require that the properties be designated as a conservation area with the option for passive recreation. The grants cannot be used to purchase condemned property, and can only be used for acquisition with willing landowners. WRIA 9 can provide assistance with grant writing, graphics, grant application review and funding strategy development.

In a similar acquisition in Tukwila, King County provided real estate services with the City of Tukwila through an agreement for acquisition services which set forth hourly rates and a cap for the total amount for reimbursement. However, the City of Des Moines may have other requirements and a preference for other real estate services.

The restoration project is a high priority for WRIA 9 within the next three years. While the acquisition of the three parcels is not absolutely necessary for the restoration project to proceed, it is highly desirable from an ecological aspect and would appear to provide benefit to the City of Des Moines by providing open space and a solution to the slope stability issue by removing the structures.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions at (206) 477-4641 or by email at karen.bergeron@kingcounty.gov.

**KING COUNTY
CONSERVATION FUTURES TAX LEVY (CFT)
FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA**

1. OPEN SPACE RESOURCES

A. WILDLIFE HABITAT OR RARE PLANT RESERVE: The property contributes to a functioning wildlife habitat system or corridor (which may be located in an urban area) that provides habitat for feeding, resting, wintering, reproduction, nesting, cover, or a migration link. Identify key species observed or likely found given the habitat, where in the species life cycle the property fits in, and if the habitat is a rare or critical link in the species life cycle. Identify major plant communities, including succession stages (i.e., mature second growth forest, etc.) Briefly discuss the sufficiency of the size of the property and adjacent protected properties or buffers to support the species.

- **Low (Highly Common or Non-Native Species):** Species examples: field mice, robins, non-migratory waterfowl, muskrat, and non-native species.
- **Medium (Semi-Common Species):** Species examples: waterfowl or native songbirds, red-tailed hawks, black-tailed deer, coyote, red-legged frog, long-toed salamander.
- **High (Rare/Endangered):** Example: mature second growth forest containing a species is listed either as a candidate, species of concern, or is actually listed as a sensitive, rare, threatened or endangered species on a State or Federal listing. (see attached supplemental information) Species examples: Peregrine falcon, spotted owl, bald eagle, western toad, Van Dyke Salamander, old growth trees, other rare shellfish and amphibians. Uncommon: large mammals such as black bear, bobcat, cougar, elk, red fox; heron, merlins, wood ducks, osprey, uncommon native plant species or habitat communities, uncommon shellfish.

B. SALMON HABITAT AND AQUATIC RESOURCES: The property will conserve salmonid habitat or aquatic resources, including forested watershed areas that provide surface or ground water supply, spawning gravel replenishment sources, nearshore sediment sources, freshwater or marine buffers, offshore eelgrass beds, kelp forests or mudflats, cool spring water sources, flood refuge areas, river oxbows, estuaries, marshes or back-channels that support the life cycles of salmonid and other aquatic biota. Briefly note how water quality in the larger stream basin beyond the property proposed for acquisition will be maintained or improved by the jurisdiction. Indicate any existing or proposed public access for aquatic resource education in the basin.

- **Low:** Property/river or nearshore reach is within a basin with highly degraded aquatic resources, requiring significant restoration on the property or within the system, that will likely yield low to moderate improvements. Discuss any adopted or proposed plans for property or broader system restoration, the estimated cost, and time frame.
- **Medium:** Property/river or nearshore reach is within a basin containing moderately degraded habitat that requires restoration that would likely yield a significant improvement in the system. Please briefly discuss the levels of restoration anticipated. For urban salmonid habitat, please discuss existing or proposed public access and aquatic resource educational uses on the site proposed for acquisition or within the basin.
- **High:** The property/reach is: 1) within a high quality basin identified in Waterways 2000 or WRIA Plans, or 2) in an urban basin with salmonid habitat for which an adopted basin restoration plan exists and for which a high level of appropriate public access for viewing salmon exists, or is proposed in the plan; and/or 3) located on saltwater shoreline and provides a sediment source for natural littoral drift processes.

C. SCENIC RESOURCES: The property/system provides one or more of the following: provides the opportunity to view a natural or cultural scenic resource; protects a view corridor for a natural or cultural scenic resource; property/system is itself a natural or cultural scenic resource; or the property/system provides visual relief in a densely populated urban area.

- **Low:** Property/system provides little or no unique view, does not protect a view of a scenic resource and is not itself a unique scenic feature.
- **Medium:** Property/system provides a view of, protects a view of, or contains a scenic resource such as a creek, or other uncommon natural feature or cultural resource that is visible from a local, county or state park/open space/right-of-way.

- **High:** Property/system provides a unique view of, protects a unique view of, or contains a unique scenic resource such as the Cascade Mountains/Issaquah Alps, downtown Seattle skyline, Puget Sound, a large lake, a geological feature unique to King County, or Mount Rainier/Olympic Mountains, that is visible from a city, county, state or federal park/open space/right-of-way, Puget Sound, Lake Washington/Lake Union/Ship Canal, Lake Sammamish or a major river such as the Green/Duwamish, Cedar, Snoqualmie, or Sammamish.

D. COMMUNITY SEPARATOR: Undeveloped, natural land areas that are typically linear in form and serve to define edges of separate, distinct communities, neighborhoods, or incompatible land uses, including visual relief. Rivers that flow through cities and do not separate them may be significant community separators, while rivers that actually separate cities are considered regional separators that define King County's regional form.

- **Low:** Property provides some separation but is not a significant greenbelt.
- **Medium:** Property is part of a larger greenbelt that separates major neighborhoods or communities.
- **High:** Property is part of a greenbelt that helps define King County's regional form, separates cities, counties, or is along a major regional waterway.

E. HISTORIC/CULTURAL RESOURCES: Eligible land must itself be an eligible historical or archaeological resource or have situated upon it a structure that is such a resource. Structures are not eligible for funding with CFT. Historic or archaeological resources must be eligible for designation on a local, King County, State or federal register.

- **Low:** Property may be eligible for designation. Requires a letter from a local, state, county or federal preservation officer stating that the property is eligible for listing on an historic register.
- **Medium:** Provides a buffer to an historic resource listed on a local, county state, or federal register.
- **High:** Contains an historic resource listed on a local, county, state, or federal historic register.

F. URBAN PASSIVE-USE NATURAL AREA/GREENBELT: Property is itself, or contributes significantly, to a larger system of undeveloped, natural land area that will be used as a passive-use community or regional urban open space, wildlife corridor or trail corridor. The system must be located in an incorporated city or urban area designated in accordance with the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA).

- **Low:** Provides or contributes to a passive-use natural area/greenbelt of under 1 acres in size.
- **Medium:** Provides or contributes to a passive-use natural area/greenbelt between 1 and 3 acres, or enhances water access to a pond, lake or creek.
- **High:** Provides or contributes to a passive-use natural area/greenbelt greater than 3 acres in size, or enhances water access to Puget Sound, Lake Washington/Union, Lake Sammamish or the Green/Duwamish, Cedar, Snoqualmie or Sammamish Rivers.

G. PARK, OPEN SPACE, OR NATURAL CORRIDOR ADDITION: The property is adjacent to or is demonstrated to be integrally linked to a park or open space system. Describe the attributes of the property that will provide a meaningful contribution to the existing open space, such as size, allowing an important function to occur, or removing a development threat that would adversely affect an existing open space.

- **Low:** Provides a moderate contribution, but not critical for the integrity of the park or system.
- **Medium:** Provides a significant natural buffer or a significant contribution to the function of an existing park or open space system.
- **High:** The proposed acquisition will be a critical link or inholding in an existing park or open space system, or will provide a highly significant addition by virtue of its size.

H. PASSIVE RECREATION OPPORTUNITY IN AREA WITH UNMET NEEDS: The property/system will create, enhance, or preserve a passive recreation use such as hiking, walking, biking, gardening, passive-use children's play or nature viewing in an area having a deficit in passive park or open space resources in a park, open space, community or comprehensive plan, adopted in conformance with the State Growth Management Act. How many people will the proposal directly or indirectly serve and how does this relate to needs assessments that have been conducted by the applicant jurisdiction?

- **Low:** Low need
- **Medium:** Significant need
- **High:** Critical need

2. ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A. EDUCATIONAL/INTERPRETIVE OPPORTUNITY: The property or system will provide, now or potentially, the setting for meaningful education or interpretation of natural systems or other historic/cultural resources described in the section above.

- **Low:** Fair to poor access; or low anticipated use; resources common.
- **Medium:** Moderate access; or irregular use by small groups; or less common resources.
- **High:** Good to excellent access; or anticipated regular use by groups or individuals from outside the local jurisdiction or community; or a resource that is unique to King County.

B. THREAT OF LOSS OF OPEN SPACE RESOURCES: Which of the open space resources described in Section 1 above will be negatively impacted if this application is not funded? Also, briefly discuss whether open space resources on this property could be lost as a result of activities off-site in a larger system the property may be connected to. (For example, are there likely actions on other connected lands, such as a loss of water rights, which could have a significant negative or positive impact on a salmon run or other wildlife species?)

- **Low:** Little or no demonstrated threat to resources.
- **Medium:** A development is proposed, but permits have not been issued, and such action would have a serious impact on open space resources.
- **High:** Development is imminent or a potentially damaging water rights application has been granted; a building or subdivision permit application has been approved; SEPA review completed; a logging, grading, or clearing permit is approved, and such action would have a serious impact on open space resources.

C. FEASIBILITY: OWNERSHIP COMPLEXITY/WILLING SELLER(S)/COMMUNITY

SUPPORT: How many properties are proposed for purchase and what property interests (i.e., fee simple, conservation easement) are proposed for each parcel? Which parcels have willing sellers? If a multi-parcel proposal, discuss how the remaining parcels could be acquired. Is there community support or opposition that could affect the feasibility or success of the proposal?

- **Low:** There are many properties for which little is known about the intent of the owner(s) to sell, but the jurisdiction has notified the owners. No demonstrated community support, or community opposes proposal.
- **Medium:** The applicant jurisdiction has obtained a signed letter of interest from key landowner(s) to sell the identified property interest(s). Local community is aware of the proposal and does not oppose it.
- **High:** The jurisdiction can provide a copy of an irrevocable purchase option or purchase and sale agreement for purchase of the identified key property interest(s). Demonstrated strong community support for proposal.

D. PARTNERSHIPS: Describe any public or private partnerships that will enhance this project: Will the project provide partnerships with other governments or private groups, such as provision of funding or volunteer efforts towards property acquisition, provision of allowable facilities, stewardship, restoration of a significantly degraded resource, outreach to local businesses, community education, etc.? In addition, please list any actual funds expended, committed or donated through in-kind services for restoration, stewardship, education, interpretation or other enhancement directly associated with the project.

- **Low:** A group conducts one to three of the above activities annually or provides modest funding support.
- **Medium:** A group conducts three to four of the above activities annually or provides moderate funding support.
- **High:** A group conducts five or more of the above activities annually or provides significant funding support.

E. IS THE PROPERTY IDENTIFIED IN AN ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, PARK OPEN SPACE, HABITAT, CULTURAL RESOURCE OR COMMUNITY PLAN? Please identify the name of the plan and the date adopted, and reference appropriate pages, but do not provide copies of the plan.

F. TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT CREDITS (TDC) PARTICIPATION

Is the applicant property a proposed sending site in an adopted transferable development credits (TDC) program? Is the property located in or near a formally designated receiving area in an authorized TDC program, as evidenced by an ordinance or interlocal agreement between cities and/or King County? Describe how this project will further the goals of the program.

- **Low:** No adopted TDC program or interlocal between the applicant city and King County exists.
- **Medium:** An adopted program or TDC interlocal exists between the applicant city and King County.
- **High:** An adopted TDC interlocal exists between the city and county and the sending site is approved.

3. STEWARDSHIP AND MAINTENANCE

How will the property be stewarded and maintained? Does the property lend itself to volunteer stewardship opportunities? Briefly discuss short-term “hold and protect” measures and longer-term stewardship plans for the proposal site. How will proposed stewardship and maintenance efforts be funded?

- **Low:** Applicant lacks stewardship experience and makes no funding commitment.
- **Medium:** Applicant has stewardship experience but does not identify funding source.
- **High:** Applicant can demonstrate a stewardship track record, make a funding estimate and commits to ongoing funding.

4. REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

Ordinance 14714 requires that “the citizens oversight committee shall also include in its recommendation to the executive a description of how projects contain a demonstrable regional visibility, use, ecological, cultural, historical, or other natural resource significance.” Based on the existence of one or more high quality open space resources listed above, the Conservation Futures Citizens Committee will make a recommendation.

- **"Subregional":** The property or system contains a less common or highly important open space resource to King County that may also be highly visible from a major public park or right-of-way or may act as a destination for residents from outside a community or city.
- **"Regional":** The property or system contains a unique or highly important open space resource to King County, or may be highly visible from a major public right-of-way or may be a major destination for residents from throughout King County.

5. MATCHING FUNDS

Ordinance 14714 requires the applicant jurisdiction will commit to providing a matching contribution of no less than the amount of CFT funds awarded to the project before conservation futures tax refunds are reimbursed to that jurisdiction. Following are eligible matching funds and requirements:

- Cash.
- Land trades with a valuation verified by Member of the Appraisal Institute (MAI) appraisal.
- The cash value, excluding King County conservation futures contributions, of other open spaces acquired within the previous two years that is either directly adjacent or the county concludes to be directly linked to the property under application.
- When a project nominated by a citizen or citizen group is located within an incorporated city or unincorporated King County and is recommended for acquisition by the Conservation Futures Citizen Committee, the applicant is required to demonstrate in the application for conservation futures tax funds a commitment by the jurisdiction in which the project is located to contribute within two years of application the required share for the project.
- Jurisdictions may form partnerships and pool matching funds for a given partnership project, per Ordinance 14714.



King County

K.C. Date Received _____

CONSERVATION FUTURES (CFT) 2016 ANNUAL COLLECTIONS APPLICATION FOR FUNDS

PROJECT NAME: _____

Applicant Jurisdiction(s): _____

Open Space System: _____

(Name of larger connected system, if any, such as Cedar River Greenway, Mountains to Sound, a Regional Trail, etc.)

Acquisition Project Size: _____

(Size in acres and proposed number of parcel(s) if a multi-parcel proposal)

CFT Application Amount: _____

(Dollar amount of CFT grant requested)

Type of Acquisition(s): ☐ Fee Title ☐ Conservation Easement ☐ Other: _____

CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact Name: _____

Phone: _____

Title: _____

Fax: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

Date: _____

PROJECT SUMMARY:

1. OPEN SPACE RESOURCES

*Please review the attached evaluation criteria. For the proposed acquisition parcel(s), please mark those criteria that apply and thoroughly, yet succinctly, describe **in the space below** how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criteria. Please clearly describe how these criteria might be met at a landscape level, and how they apply to individual parcels. If restoration is part of the plan, please briefly describe the current condition and the hoped for restored condition that is the goal of the acquisition.*

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ A. Wildlife habitat or rare plant reserve | ☐ E. Historic/cultural resources |
| ☐ B. Salmon habitat and aquatic resources | ☐ F. Urban passive-use natural area/greenbelt |
| ☐ C. Scenic resources | ☐ G. Park/open space or natural corridor addition |
| ☐ D. Community separator | ☐ H. Passive recreation opportunity/unmet needs |

2. ADDITIONAL FACTORS

For the proposed acquisition parcel(s), please mark all criteria that apply and thoroughly, yet succinctly, describe **in the space below** how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criteria.

- ☐ A. Educational/interpretive opportunity
- ☐ B. Threat of loss of open space resources
- ☐ C. Ownership complexity/willing seller(s)/ownership interest proposed
- ☐ D. Partnerships - Describe any public or private partnerships that will enhance this project
- ☐ E. Is the property identified in an adopted park, open space, comprehensive, or community plan?
- ☐ F. Transferable Development Credits (TDC) participation

3. STEWARDSHIP AND MAINTENANCE

How will the property be stewarded and maintained? Does the property lend itself to volunteer stewardship opportunities? How will ongoing stewardship and maintenance efforts be funded?

4. PROJECT BUDGET

1) TOTAL CFT APPLICATION AMOUNT ^a	CFT:
2) TOTAL PEL APPLICATION AMOUNT ^b	PEL:

^aAllowable CFT acquisition costs (Ordinance 14714): The disbursement of funds shall be made only for capital project expenditures that include costs of acquiring real property, including interests in real property, and the following costs: the cost of related relocation of eligible occupants, cost of appraisal, cost of appraisal review, costs of title insurance, closing costs, pro rata real estate taxes, recording fees, compensating tax, hazardous waste substances reports, directly related staff costs and related legal and administrative costs, but shall not include the cost of preparing applications for conservation futures funds.

^bKing County projects only, if applicable.

Estimation of property value:

Briefly note how land values have been estimated (i.e., appraisal, property tax assessment, asking price, letter of value or other means).

PROJECT COSTS	ESTIMATED DOLLAR AMOUNT OR RANGE
Total property interest value	\$
Title and appraisal work	\$
Closing, fees, taxes	\$
Relocation	\$
Hazardous waste reports	\$
Directly related staff, administration and legal costs	\$
Total Project Costs (CFT and other funds)	\$

MATCHING FUNDS: Existing Sources (CFT can only provide a maximum of 50% of anticipated project costs)	DATE (Expended or Committed)	DOLLAR AMOUNT (Expended or Committed)
Total CFT Funds Previously Received This Project		
Total Matching Funds and Past CFT Funds Currently Identified		
Unidentified Remaining Match Need		

Unidentified remaining match need: What funds are anticipated and what is the time frame?
Please briefly discuss how the unidentified remaining match need above will be met.

5. IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PARTNERSHIPS

Brief Activity Description	Dollar Value of In-kind Contribution	Status (Completed or Proposed)	Activity Date Range (Completion Date or Proposed Completion Date)
TOTAL			

6. ATTACHED MAPS (*Two maps are now required: 1) site map and 2) general location map; you may also include one additional map, aerial photo or site photo*)

8 ½ x 11" maps are preferred, but 11 x 17" is acceptable if folded and hole-punched for insertion into a three-ring binder.

Site Map that shows the following:

- Each parcel proposed for acquisition in yellow or distinct shading and an indication of any parcel proposed for less than fee simple acquisition, such as a conservation easement;

- Location of any proposed development to the site such as parking, trails or other facilities;
- Location of any proposed site restoration;
- Existing adjacent public (local, state or federal) parks or open spaces labeled and shown in dark green or distinct shading.

Location Map that shows the following:

- Other permanently protected open spaces (private, non-profit, institutional, etc.) shown in light green or distinct shading;
- Major water courses such as creeks, rivers, lakes or wetlands;
- Major roads, arterial roads or regional trails.
- *Map scale: This map should show approximately a ten-mile radius around the proposed acquisition(s).*

