

Public Safety & Transportation Des Moines City Council Committee Minutes 4/10/08

Meeting called to order: 5:38 PM on April 10, 2008, in North Conference Room @ 21630 11th Avenue So., Des Moines WA 98198

Purpose/Agenda:

1. Review and approve minutes from April 3, 2008
2. 2008 PS&T Committee Revised Work Plan
3. Street Design/Construction Policy Issues

Attendees: Dave Kaplan, Chairman; Dan Sherman, Mayor Pro Tem; Ed Pina, Councilmember; Grant Fredricks, PB&PW Director; Dan Brewer, Asst. Director Transportation & Engineering; Tony Piasecki, City Manager; Scott Romano, CIP Project Manager; Erika Sullivan, Admin. Asst. (assisting w/Street Standard updates); and Linda Wright, Secretary

Discussion:

1. **Minutes** - were handed out for review; will be brought up for approval at the next meeting.

2. **2008 Work Plan was updated:**

- o Colored handout was passed out: #'s were reduced for various topics based on Committee input @ last meeting (e.g. 2 > 1 means previously 2 hours now changed to 1 hour)
- o DMCBP is a catch all for 24th/216th/ and SR99 improvements for DMCBP.
- o April and May will need 2 hrs/mo for PS&T issues, 6 hrs in June [for Street Stds, DMCBP, Comp Tran Plan (CTP), TIP] and 3 in July (DMCBP and CTP).
- o There is still more PS&T time needed each month than is typically available in the two scheduled meetings

3. **Street Design and Construction Policy Issues** - Dan had previously sent Committee members with a 5-page handout for comments/input starting with Street Classifications and Construction showing Current Standard and Proposed Standard:

Classification

- o Chairman Kaplan wanted to know if designations were consistent with surrounding jurisdictions? For planning purposes should apply same standard as connecting jurisdictions. Dan indicated that we were compatible.
- o Committee OK with classifications. Principals: 16th, KDM, SR99, MVD - should not have on street parking
- o What about 7th and parts of MVD downtown development if parking not allowed on site - off site lots?

Construction-Dimensions - discussion

- o Collector going from 36' to 26'-52' for bike lanes? Neighborhood collector 2' less?
- o Travel lanes low volume 10'; 11', collector 12' - range same as other cities.
- o How will 8th / alleys be addressed?
- o What are Kent / Normandy Park / SeaTac standards?
- o R/W width 60/70 (10' difference) to 70/90 (20' difference). Are these increases cost-justified?
- o Lane width MVD south of KDM road – Councilmember Pina wanted to know width difference from downtown

- R/way issue when purchasing - expand scope to ensure the following are adequately addressed:
 - utility in R/W?
 - utility easement on private property?
 - 50' w/utility easement
 - 60' (w/sewer - in road)
 - local 22' no parking both sides
 - 28' parking 1 side
- How much on street parking per house?
- Downtown parking
- Policy: 10' lane width - 28' curb to curb
- Exceptions: North Hill, Redondo, Soundview?
- Substandard lots cause problems ---- deviation / exceptions?? (Zenith / 7th)
- Policy issues for full Council:
 - R/Way?
 - How impact as new development comes in?
 - Design for future?
 - Principal, Minor, Collector, Neighborhood Collector - demands/growth
 - Bike Routes
 - Planter Strips

Alleyways proposal consistent w/fire

- List of Principal Streets
- \$ Construction
- 2' Narrower / less SWM drainage (22' - 20' asphalt / 1' concrete each side)
- Parking - Subdivisions
- 6' parking issue - improvements 3'
- Dan Sherman would like a tour of some of the streets issues you are talking about - examples
- Private Streets
- Decide next meeting - conflicting Committee availability

General consensus: Principal, minor and collector dimensions OK; concerns with other classes

Staff to propose way for committee and/or individual committee members to work through rest of policy questions. Members agreed to individually provide Dan with questions and concerns.

Adjourned 6:34 PM

Respectfully submitted,

Linda L. Wright, Secretary